**Attachment 17: Past Performance Relevant Experience Form (Document Verification)**

**Part 1: Project Identification (To be filled out by the Offeror)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Offeror Name: | Technuf, LLC |
| Contractor Name if other than Offeror (Restricted Pool Only): | Click here to enter text. |
| Contract Number: | 2032H5-18-P-00389 |
| Order Number (if applicable) | Click here to enter text. |
| Project Title: | HIDS-EDR (Host based Intrusion Detection System – Endpoint Detection and Response) |
| Short Project Description | Technuf is engaged in a mission critical cybersecurity project called HIDS-EDR at the Treasury. The IRS CSIRC (Computer Security Incident Response Center) serves as a mechanism for receiving and/or disseminating security incident information and provides a consistent capability to respond to and report on incidents. When computer security incidents occur, it is critical for the IRS to have an effective means of managing and responding to them. The speed with which an organization can recognize, analyze, prevent, and respond to an incident will limit the damage done and lower the cost of recovery.   HIDS-EDR project addresses the following business drivers:   To address the ability to capture all information required for determining the complete scope of the incident.   To minimize the response time on critical threats.   To maximize the detection and mitigation of the endpoint operating system and application   To increase the search capabilities for Indicators of Compromise (IoC)   To provide a full scope of potentially malicious access across all enterprise systems.   To quickly analyze real-time security incidents discovered on assets in the enterprise. |
| Prime or Subcontractor: | Prime |
| Contract Type: | Firm-Fixed Price |
| Period of Performance (PoP): | 10/2018 – 9/2021 |
| PoP if all options are exercised: | Base + 1 |
| Obligated Amount: | $2,593,411 |
| Base and All Options Value (Total Contract Value): | $2,593,411 |
| NAICS: | 541512, 541513, 541519, 518210, 541330, 541511 |
| PSC Code | D310, D306, D307, D308 |

**Part 2: Past Performance Reference Information**

1. **Contracting Officer (or Corporate Official for Commercial Experience)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name: | Robin Green |
| Title: | Project Manager |
| Agency or Customer: | Treasury – Internal Revenue Service (IRS) |
| Phone: | (202)213-0009 |
| Email: | robin.a.green@irs.gov |
| Verification Method –  Choose One | CPAR Attached  Signature by Contracting Officer or Corporate Official certifies accuracy of ratings in Part 3  Signature by Contracting Officer’s Representative or Federal Program Manager certifies accuracy of ratings in Part 3 – complete Section (b) |
| Date: 10/30/2019 | Signature of Assessing Official (Contracting Officer or Corporate Official): |

1. **Contracting Officer’s Representative (COR)/Federal Program Manager (FPM) (Complete only if COR/FPM providing signature verification)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name: | Click here to enter text. |
| Title : | Click here to enter text. |
| Agency: | Click here to enter text. |
| Phone: | Click here to enter text. |
| Email: | Click here to enter text. |
| Date: Click here to enter a date. | Signature of Assessing Official (COR/FPM): |

**Part 3: Evaluation Areas (To be filled out by the Assessing Official)**

1. **Quality of Service**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Rating** | **Adjectival**  **Rating** | **Definition** |
|  | **Exceptional** | Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the Government’s benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were highly effective. |
|  | **Very Good** | Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government’s benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor was effective. |
|  | **Satisfactory** | Performance meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory. |
|  | **Marginal** | Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractor’s proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented. |
|  | **Unsatisfactory** | Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains a serious problem(s) for which the contractor’s corrective actions appear or were ineffective. |
|  | **Not Applicable** |  |

**Additional Comments:**

Click here to enter text.

1. **Schedule**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Rating** | **Adjectival**  **Rating** | **Definition** |
|  | **Exceptional** | Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the Government’s benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were highly effective. |
|  | **Very Good** | Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government’s benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor was effective. |
|  | **Satisfactory** | Performance meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory. |
|  | **Marginal** | Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractor’s proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented. |
|  | **Unsatisfactory** | Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains a serious problem(s) for which the contractor’s corrective actions appear or were ineffective. |
|  | **Not Applicable** |  |

**Additional Comments:**

Click here to enter text.

1. **Cost Control**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Rating** | **Adjectival**  **Rating** | **Definition** |
|  | **Exceptional** | Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the Government’s benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were highly effective. |
|  | **Very Good** | Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government’s benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor was effective. |
|  | **Satisfactory** | Performance meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory. |
|  | **Marginal** | Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractor’s proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented. |
|  | **Unsatisfactory** | Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains a serious problem(s) for which the contractor’s corrective actions appear or were ineffective. |
|  | **Not Applicable** |  |

**Additional Comments:**

Click here to enter text.

**(d) Management of Key Personnel**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Rating** | **Adjectival**  **Rating** | **Definition** |
|  | **Exceptional** | Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the Government’s benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were highly effective. |
|  | **Very Good** | Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government’s benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor was effective. |
|  | **Satisfactory** | Performance meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory. |
|  | **Marginal** | Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being evaluated reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractor’s proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented. |
|  | **Unsatisfactory** | Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains a serious problem(s) for which the contractor’s corrective actions appear or were ineffective. |
|  | **Not Applicable** |  |

**Additional Comments:**

Click here to enter text.

**(e) Small Business Utilization**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Rating** | **Adjectival**  **Rating** | **Definition** |
|  | **Exceptional** | Exceeded all statutory goals or goals as negotiated. Had exceptional success with initiatives to assist, promote, and utilize small business (SB), small disadvantaged business (SDB), women-owned small business (WOSB), HUBZone small business, veteran-owned small business (VOSB) and service disabled veteran owned small business (SDVOSB). Complied with FAR 52.219-8, Utilization of Small Business Concerns. Exceeded any other small business participation requirements incorporated in the contract/order, including the use of small businesses in mission critical aspects of the program. Went above and beyond the required elements of the subcontracting plan and other small business requirements of the contract/order. Completed and submitted Individual Subcontract Reports and/or Summary Subcontract Reports in an accurate and timely manner. |
|  | **Very Good** | Met all of the statutory goals or goals as negotiated. Had significant success with initiatives to assist, promote and utilize SB, SDB, WOSB, HUBZone, VOSB, and SDVOSB. Complied with FAR 52.219-8, Utilization of Small Business Concerns. Met or exceeded any other small business participation requirements incorporated in the contract/order, including the use of small businesses in mission critical aspects of the program. Endeavored to go above and beyond the required elements of the subcontracting plan. Completed and submitted Individual Subcontract Reports and/or Summary Subcontract Reports in an accurate and timely manner. |
|  | **Satisfactory** | Met all of the statutory goals or goals as negotiated. Had significant success with initiatives to assist, promote and utilize SB, SDB, WOSB, HUBZone, VOSB, and SDVOSB. Complied with FAR 52.219-8, Utilization of Small Business Concerns. Met or exceeded any other small business participation requirements incorporated in the contract/order, including the use of small businesses in mission critical aspects of the program. Endeavored to go above and beyond the required elements of the subcontracting plan. Completed and submitted Individual Subcontract Reports and/or Summary Subcontract Reports in an accurate and timely manner. |
|  | **Marginal** | Deficient in meeting key subcontracting plan elements. Deficient in complying with FAR 52.219-8, Utilization of Small Business Concerns, and any other small business participation requirements in the contract/order. Did not submit Individual Subcontract Reports and/or Summary Subcontract Reports in an accurate or timely manner. Failed to satisfy one or more requirements of a corrective action plan currently in place; however, does show an interest in bringing performance to a satisfactory level and has demonstrated a commitment to apply the necessary resources to do so. Required a corrective action plan. |
|  | **Unsatisfactory** | Deficient in meeting key subcontracting plan elements. Deficient in complying with FAR 52.219-8, Utilization of Small Business Concerns, and any other small business participation requirements in the contract/order. Did not submit Individual Subcontract Reports and/or Summary Subcontract Reports in an accurate or timely manner. Failed to satisfy one or more requirements of a corrective action plan currently in place; however, does show an interest in bringing performance to a satisfactory level and has demonstrated a commitment to apply the necessary resources to do so. Required a corrective action plan. |
|  | **Not Applicable** |  |

**Additional Comments:**

Click here to enter text.